

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/768,308	01/30/2004	Richard Wayne Buskens	LUC-450/Buskens 6-1-1-1-2	6327
32205 PATTI. HEWI	7590 01/24/2008 TT & AREZINA LLC		EXAM	INER
ONE NORTH	LASALLE STREET		WANG, RONGFA PHILIP	
44TH FLOOR CHICAGO, IL			ART UNIT PAPER NU	PAPER NUMBER
·			2191	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	01/24/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	·		- $MN$
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summany	10/768,308	BUSKENS ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
T. MANUAL DATE:	Philip Wang	2191	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was reply reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this comm D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•
Status			
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 Octoors</u></li> <li>This action is <b>FINAL</b>. 2b) This</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Exercise.</li> </ol>	action is non-final.		erits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-9 and 11-22 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-9 and 11-22 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers	<del></del>		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the I	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	∋ 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	= • • • • •		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive	on No	age
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.	
•			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

#### **Detail Action**

- 1. This office action is in response to the application filed on 10/24/2007.
- 2 Per Applicant's request, claims 1, 11, 16 and 21 are amended; claim 10 is canceled; claim 22 is entered.
- 3. The 35 U.S.C. 112 first paragraph rejections of claims 13 and 20 are withdrawn in view of the Applicant's persuasive arguments.
- 4. Claims 1-9, and 11-22 are pending.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

5. Claims 1-9, 11-15, 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claims 1-15, 21 and 22 recites a computer-readable signal-bearing media. A computer-readable signal-bearing medium can be interpreted as signal. Signal is considered as a form of energy and is not considered a patentable subject matter.

On page 10 the specification includes examples of computer-readable signal-bearing medium.

Though previous amendment has deleted the example of a computer-readable signal-bearing

medium being a modulated carrier signal, however the term computer-readable signal-bearing medium can be subject matter other than example listed. A computer-readable signal-bearing medium can be signal or wave that is computer-readable. As reasoned above, signal or wave is considered a s form of energy and is not considered a patentable subject matter.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 6. Claims 1-9 and 11-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claims 1, 16, and 21 and their dependent claims recite the limitation of "a legacy management system". A legacy management system is a system that manages legacy. The examiner believes there is no disclosure in the specification of "a legacy management system".
- 7. Claims 1-9 and 11-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in

the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Claims 1, 16, and 21 and their dependent claims recite the limitation of "a

legacy management system". A legacy management system is a system that manages

legacy. The examiner believes there is no disclosure in the specification to enable one

skill in the art to make or use such "legacy management system".

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

8. Claims 1, 16, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Baughman (US Patent No. 6,408, 399).

As per claim 1,

 a first manager component of a legacy management system that performs one or more first management operations on a software and/or hardware entity; and a second manager component that performs one or more second management operations on the software and/or hardware entity, wherein the second manager component comprise high availability services system software operating in a

high availability domain; wherein the first manager component and the second manager component are configured to concurrently share management responsibilities for the software and/or hardware entity. (c4: 45-65, FIG. 3, "...a disk manage 107 and 117 resides on each computer 10 and 11 to manage file manipulation of the shared disks 12 and 13...").

## As per claim 16,

- configuring a software and/or hardware entity for partial control by a first manager component and partial control by a second manager component (c4: 45-65, FIG. 3, "...a disk manage 107 and 117 resides on each computer 10 and 11 to manage file manipulation of the shared disks 12 and 13...")..

## As per claim 21,

one or more computer-readable signal-bearing media; and means in the one or more media for configuring a software and/or hardware entity for partial control by a first manager component and partial control by a second manager component (c4: 45-65, FIG. 3, "...a disk manage 107 and 117 resides on each computer 10 and 11 to manage file manipulation of the shared disks 12 and 13...").

9. Claims 1-9, 11-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Anderson (USPGPub. No. 2003/0058796).

### As per claim 1, Anderson discloses

- a first manager component that performs one or more first management operations on a software and/or hardware entity; and a second manager component that performs one or more second management operations on the software and/or hardware entity ([0018], for example, line 12-15, "...a traffic manager, a provisioning manager and a signaling manager perform all broadband and narrowband...and connections for all network devices." In this scenario, the first manager component can be a traffic manager/signaling manager; and the second manager component can be a provisioning manager; and the software and/or hardware entity is the network devices. See also, FIG. 2).
- Wherein the first manager component and the second manager component are configured to concurrently share management responsibilities for the software and/or hardware entity (in this scenario the first manager component and the second manager component function concurrently to share management responsibility).

As per claim 2,

the rejection of claim 1 is incorporated;

#### further Anderson discloses

- the first manager component and the second manager component are

communicatively coupled ([0019], for example, "The signaling

manager receives its working instructions from the traffic

manage and from the provisioning manager...").

As per claim 3,

the rejection of claim 2 is incorporated;

further Anderson discloses

- the first manager component and the second manager component coordinate the one or more first and second management operations to occur in a proper sequence ([0019], for example, "The signaling manager receives its working instructions from the traffic manage and from the provisioning manager..."; [0033], "...decide how to configure the logical networks on top of the physical network resources...").

As per claim 4,

the rejection of claim 1 is incorporated;

further Anderson discloses

 upon detection by the first management component of an event associated with the software and/or hardware entity, the first manager component sends a notification to the second manager component; wherein upon detection by the

second management component of an event associated with the software and/or hardware entity, the second manager component sends a notification to the first manager component ([0021], shows monitoring of network event, [0018], [0019], show manager components communicating with each other.).

As per claim 5,

the rejection of claim 1 is incorporated;

further Anderson discloses

the software and/or hardware entity comprises one or more software and/or hardware components; wherein the first manager component starts up the software and/or hardware entity and the one or more software and/or hardware components; wherein the first manager component sends a notification to the second manager component to indicate that the software and/or hardware entity and the one or more software and/or hardware components have been started ([0020], for example, "provisioning element for non-real time circuits...performs...end point connections and port provisioning..."; [0018]-[0019]).

As per claim 6,

the rejection of claim 5 is incorporated;

further Anderson discloses

the second manager component initializes one or more of the one or more software and/or hardware components; wherein the second manager component sends a notification to the first manager component to indicate that the one or more of the one or more software and/or hardware components have been initialized ([0020], for example, "provisioning element for non-real time circuits...performs...end point connections and port provisioning..."; [0018]-[0019]).

As per claim 7,

the rejection of claim 1 is incorporated;

further Anderson discloses

the software and/or hardware entity comprises one or more software and/or hardware components; wherein the first and second manager components cooperate to initialize, monitor, and detect one or more failures of the software and/or hardware entity and one or more of the one or more software and/or hardware components, wherein the first and second manager components dynamically negotiate the management responsibilities. ([0021]).

As per claim 8,

the rejection of claim 7 is incorporated;

further Anderson discloses

the first and second manager components cooperate to recover the software and/or hardware entity from the one or more failures ([0068], "...restored quickly in the event hardware failure...").

As per claim 9,

the rejection of claim 1 is incorporated;

further Anderson discloses

- the first manager component sends a request to the second manager component to cause the second manager component to perform a management operation of the one or more second management operations on the software and/or hardware entity ([0019]).

As per claim 11,

the rejection of claim 1 is incorporated;

further Anderson discloses

in combination with the software and/or hardware entity, wherein the software and/or hardware entity operates outside of the high availability domain, wherein the high availability services software comprised the one or more second management operations; wherein the software and/or hardware entity interacts with the high availability domain ([0068], line 2, "...high

Application/Control Number:

10/768,308

Art Unit: 2191

availability...").

As per claim 12,

the rejection of claim 11 is incorporated;

further Anderson discloses

the software and/or hardware entity is connected with the high availability domain to employ one or more of the one or more second management operations of the high availability services software ([0068], line 2, "...high availability...").

As per claim 13,

the rejection of claim 12 is incorporated;

further Anderson discloses

- the software and/or hardware entity is connected with the first manager component to employ one or more of the one or more first management operations and to prevent autonomous control of the software and/or hardware entity by the high availability services software ([0068], line 2, "...high availability...").

As per claim 14,

the rejection of claim 1 is incorporated;

Anderson discloses

Page 11

- in combination with the software and/or hardware entity, wherein the first manager component, the second manager component, and the software and/or hardware entity are responsible for one or more of setup and teardown of telecommunication connections ([0060], "...creating...and tearing down connections...").

As per claim 15,

the rejection of claim 1 is incorporated;

further Anderson discloses

the software and/or hardware entity comprises one or more first software and/or hardware components and one or more second software and/or hardware components; wherein the first manager component controls the one or more first software and/or hardware components; wherein the second manager component controls the one or more second software and/or hardware components ([0018], for example, line 12-15, "...a traffic manager, a provisioning manager and a signaling manager perform all broadband and narrowband...and connections for all network devices." In this scenario, the first manager component can be a traffic manager/signaling manager; and the second manager component can be a provisioning manager; and the software and/or hardware entity is the network devices.).

Art Unit: 2191

As per claim 16,

Anderson discloses

configuring a software and/or hardware entity for concurrent partial control by a first manager component of a legacy management system and a second manager component, wherein the second manager component comprises high availability services system software operating in a high availability domain([0018], for example, line 12-15, "...a traffic manager, a provisioning manager and a signaling manager perform all broadband and narrowband...and connections for all network devices." In this scenario, the first manager component can be a traffic manager/signaling manager; and the second manager component can be a provisioning manager; and the software and/or hardware entity is the network devices.).

As per claim 17,

the rejection of claim 16 is incorporated;

further Anderson discloses

allowing the software and/or hardware entity to accept one or more first
management operations from the first manager component and one or more
second management operations from the second manger component, wherein
the first and second manager components cooperate to initialize, monitor, and

detect failures of the software and/or hardware entity ([0018], for example, line 12-15, "...a traffic manager, a provisioning manager and a signaling manager perform all broadband and narrowband...and connections for all network devices.", [0021], "...monitoring..."; [0058], "...provisioning..."; The examiner asserts that monitoring detect failures.)

As per claim 18,

the rejection of claim 16 is incorporated;

further Anderson disclose

- wherein the second manager component comprises high availability services software operating in a high availability domain, the method further comprising the steps of: operating the software and/or hardware entity outside of the high availability domain; and connecting the software and/or hardware entity with the high availability services software within the high availability domain ([0068], line 2, "...high availability...").

As per claim 19,

the rejection of claim 16 is incorporated;

further Anderson disclose

- sending one or more notifications between the first manager component and the second manager component to indicate occurrence of one or

more events associated with the software and/or hardware entity ([0018], [0019]).

As per claim 20,

the rejection of claim 16 is incorporated;

further Anderson disclose

wherein the software and/or hardware entity comprises one or more first software and/or hardware components, wherein the step of configuring the software and/or hardware entity for partial control by the first manager component and partial control by the second manager component comprises the steps of: connecting the one or more first software and/or hardware components with the first manager component to employ one or more first management operations of the first manager component; and connecting the one or more second software and/or hardware components with the second manager component to employ one or more second management operations of the second manager component and to prevent autonomous control of the software and/or hardware entity by the first manager component (([0018], for example, line 12-15, "...a traffic manager, a provisioning manager and a signaling manager perform all broadband and narrowband...and connections for all network devices."; [0068], line 2, "...high availability...").

As per claim 21,

#### Anderson discloses

one or more computer-readable signal-bearing media; and means in the one or more media for configuring a software and/or hardware entity for partial control by a first manager component of a legacy management system and a second manager component, wherein the second manager component comprises high availability services system software operating in a high availability domain([0018], for example, line 12-15, "...a traffic manager, a provisioning manager and a signaling manager perform all broadband and narrowband...and connections for all network devices." In this scenario, the first manager component can be a traffic manager/signaling manager; and the second manager component can be a provisioning manager; and the software and/or hardware entity is the network devices.).

As per claim 22,

#### Anderson discloses

 first manager component and/or the second manager component access a configuration file to determine the management responsibilities of each of the first and second manager components ([0074]).

# Response to Arguments

10. Referring to 35 U.S.C. 112 rejections in this office action, any argument related to "a legacy management system" is considered outside the scope of the specification.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Philip Wang whose telephone number is 571-272-5934. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri 8:00AM - 4:00PM. Any inquiry of general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the TC2100 Group receptionist: 571-272-2100.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wei Zhen can be reached on 571-272-3708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/768,308 Art Unit: 2191

Page 18

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER